arXiv:1612.00315v2 [math.RT] 21 Feb 2017

IRREDUCIBLE WITT MODULES FROM WEYL MODULES AND $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-MODULES

GENQIANG LIU, RENCAI LU, KAIMING ZHAO

Abstract. For an irreducible module P over the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$) and an irreducible module M over the general liner Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, using Shen's monomorphism, we make $P \otimes M$ into a module over the Witt algebra W_n^+ (resp. over W_n). We obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for $P \otimes M$ to be an irreducible module over W_n^+ (resp. W_n), and determine all submodules of $P \otimes M$ when it is reducible. Thus we have constructed a large family of irreducible weight modules with many different weight supports and many irreducible non-weight modules over W_n^+ and W_n.

Keywords: irreducible module, $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, Shen's monomorphism, weight module, Weyl algebra

2010 Math. Subj. Class.: 17B10, 17B20, 17B65, 17B66, 17B68

1. Introduction

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the sets of all integers, nonnegative integers, positive integers and complex numbers, respectively.

Let $n > 1$ be an integer, $A_n^+ = \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n]$ be the polynomial algebra, and $A_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be the Laurent polynomial algebra. The derivation Lie algebra $W_n^+ = \mathrm{Der}(A_n^+)$ is the Witt Lie algebra or Cartan type Lie algebra of vector fields with polynomial coefficients, while $W_n = \mathrm{Der}(A_n)$ is the Witt Lie algebra or Cartan type Lie algebra of vector fields with Laurent polynomial coefficients. These Lie algebras are colsely related to Jacobian conjecture, see [Z], [HM]. The Cartan type Lie algebras of vector fields with formal power series coefficients are the Lie algebras of infinite Lie groups which arose in the work of Sophus Lie around 1870 and were further studied by Elie Cartan in 1904–1908. The general theory of representations of the Cartan type infinite-dimensional Lie algebras was initiated only in 1973 when A.N. Rudakov began the study of topological irreducible representations of these Lie algebras, see [R1, R2]. Rudakov's main result, roughly speaking, is that all irreducible representations which satisfy a natural continuity condition can be described explicitly as quotients of induced modules. In 1999, I. Penkov and V. Serganova [PS] described the supports of all irreducible weight modules over W_n^+. However,

there are very few concrete examples of irreducible weight modules over W_n^+ for each possible weight support. There are qute a lot of studies on representrations of W_n, see [BF], [BMZ], [E1], [E2], [GLZ], [GZ], [L1-5], [MZ]. Recently, Billig and Futorny [BF] classified all irreducible Harish-Chandra W_d-module, Cavaness and Grantcharov [CG] determined all irreducible weight W_2^+-modules with bounded weight multiplicities. Our goal of the present paper is to construct irreducible weight modules with many different weight sets and construct many irreducible non-weight modules over W_n^+ and W_n.

In 1986, Shen [Sh] constructed a Lie algebra monomorphism from W_n^+ (resp. W_n) to the semidirect product Lie algebra $W_n^+ \ltimes \mathfrak{gl}_n(A_n^+)$ (resp. $W_n \ltimes \mathfrak{gl}_n(A_n)$) which are later called the full toroidal Lie algebras. For an irreducible module P over the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$) and an irreducible module M over the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, using Shen's monomorphism, the tensor product $F(P,M) = P \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M$ becomes a W_n^+-module (resp. W_n-module), see (2.4). Let $\mathbb{C}^n$ be the natural n-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and let $V(\delta_k, k)$ be its k-th exterior power, $k = 0, \cdots, n$. Note that $V(\delta_k, k)$ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module for all $k = 0, \cdots, n$. We show that $F(P,M)$ is an irreducible module over W_n^+ if and only if $M \ncong V(\delta_k, k)$ for any $k \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$, see Theorem 3.1. The modules $F(P, V(\delta_k, k))$ are generalization of the modules of differential k-forms. These modules form the following complex

$$0 \longrightarrow F(P, V(\delta_0, 0)) \xrightarrow{\pi_0} F(P, V(\delta_1, 1)) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \cdots$$

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-2}} F(P, V(\delta_{n-1}, n-1)) \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} F(P, V(\delta_n, n)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The differential π_k of the complex is a W_n^+-module (resp. W_n-module) homomorphism. Thus the kernel of π_k is a submodule of $F(P, V(\delta_k, k))$. As a result, for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, we see that $F(P, V(\delta_k, k))$ are reducible W_n^+-modules (resp. W_n-module). Moreover, $F(P, V(\delta_0, 0))$ is irreducible if and only if P is not isomorphic to the natural module A_n^+ (resp. A_n). The module $F(P, V(\delta_n, n))$ is irreducible if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k P = P$, see theorem 3.5.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of the Witt algebras W_n^+ and W_n, and the Lie algebra homomorphism from W_n^+ (resp. W_n) to the full toroidal Lie algebra, which was first constructed by Shen in 1986, see [Sh]. In Section 3, we prove the necessary and sufficient conditions for the W_n^+-modules (resp. W_n-module) $F(P,M)$ to be simple, and determine all their submodules when they are reducible. In Section 4 we give a couple of examples using our established results.

2. Preliminaries

We fix the vector space $\mathbb{C}^n$ of $n \times 1$ complex matrices. Denote the standard basis by $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_n\}$.

2.1. **Witt algebras W_n^+ and W_n.** Let $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}$ for any $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Recall that the classical Witt algebra $W_n = \sum_{i=1}^n A_n \partial_i$ has the following Lie bracket:

$$[\sum_{i=1}^n f_i\partial_i, \sum_{j=1}^n g_j\partial_j] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n (f_j\partial_j(g_i) - g_j\partial_j(f_i))\partial_i,$$

where all $f_i, g_j \in A_n$, and $W_n^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n A_n^+\partial_i$ is a subalgebra of W_n. It is well-known that both W_n and W_n^+ are simple Lie algebras.

2.2. **Finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules.** We denote by $E_{i,j}$ the $n \times n$ square matrix with 1 as its (i, j)-entry and 0 as other entries. We know that the general linear Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n = \sum_{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant n} \mathbb{C}E_{i,j}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{H} = \text{span}\{E_{ii} \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}\{h_i \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ where $h_i = E_{ii} - E_{i+1,i+1}$.

Let $\Lambda^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \,|\, \lambda(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ be the set of dominant weights with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. For any $\psi \in \Lambda^+$, let $V(\psi)$ be the simple $\mathfrak{sl}_n$-module with highest weight ψ. We make $V(\psi)$ into a $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ module by defining the action of the identity matrix I as some scalar $b \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote the resulting $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module as $V(\psi, b)$.

Define the fundamental weights $\delta_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\delta_i(h_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for all $i, j = 1, 2, ..., n-1$. It is well-known that the module $V(\delta_1, 1)$ can be realized as the natural representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ on $\mathbb{C}^n$ (the matrix product), which we can write as $E_{ji}e_l = \delta_{li}e_j$. In particular,

$$(ru^T)v = (u|v)r, \ \forall \ u, v, r \in \mathbb{C}^n, \tag{2.1}$$

where u^T is the transpose of u, and $(u|v) = u^Tv$ is the standard bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^n$. The exterior product $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathbb{C}^n$ (k times) is a $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module with the action given by

$$X(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k v_1 \wedge \cdots v_{i-1} \wedge Xv_i \cdots \wedge v_k, \ \forall \ X \in \mathfrak{gl}_n,$$

and the following $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module isomorphism is well known:

dk
$$\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong V(\delta_k, k), \forall \ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1. \tag{2.2}$$

For convenience of late use, we let $V(\delta_0, 0)$ be the 1-dimensional trivial $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module. We set $\bigwedge^0(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}$ and $v \wedge a = av$ for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^n, a \in \mathbb{C}$.

2.3. **Full toroidal algebras and Shen's monomorphism.** Now we have the general linear Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{gl}_n(A_n^+) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} A_n^+ E_{i,j}, \quad \mathfrak{gl}_n(A_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} A_n E_{i,j}$$

over the commutative associative algebras A_n^+ and A_n respectively, and the full toroidal Lie algebras (see [EJ])

$$\widehat{W}_n^+ = W_n^+ \ltimes \mathfrak{gl}_n(A_n^+), \quad \widehat{W}_n = W_n \ltimes \mathfrak{gl}_n(A_n)$$

with Lie brackets

$$[d_1 + f_1 A_1, d_2 + f_2 A_2] = [d_1, d_2] + d_1(f_2)A_2 - d_2(f_1)A_1 + f_1 f_2 [A_1, A_2]$$

for $d_1, d_2 \in W_n$, $A_1, A_2 \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$, and $f_1, f_2 \in A_n$.

The following Lie algebra monomorphism was given by Shen in 1986, see [Sh].

lemma2.1 **Lemma 2.1** (Shen's monomorphism)**.** *The linear map $\tau : W_n \to \widehat{W}_n$ given by*

$$\tau(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \partial_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \partial_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial_i(f_j) E_{i,j}, \forall f_i \in A_n$$

is a Lie algebra monomorphism.

Note that the restriction of the above homomorphism $\tau : W_n^+ \to \widehat{W}_n^+$ is also a Lie algebra homomorphism.

We have the following useful formula for any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)^T \in \mathbb{Z}^n$:

$$\tau(t^\alpha \partial_j) = t^\alpha \partial_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i(t^\alpha) E_{ij}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $t^\alpha = t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_n^{\alpha_n}$.

2.4. **The Weyl algebras $\mathcal{K}_n^+, \mathcal{K}_n$ and Witt modules.** The Weyl algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ is the simple associative algebra $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \cdots, t_n, \partial_1, \cdots, \partial_n]$, while $\mathcal{K}_n$ is the simple associative algebra $\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}, \partial_1, \cdots, \partial_n]$.

Let P be a module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$) and M be a $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module. Then the tensor product

$$F(P, M) = P \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M$$

becomes a $\widehat{W}_n^+$-module (resp. W_n-module) with the action

$$(d + fA)(g \otimes v) = d(g) \otimes v + fg \otimes A(v)$$

for $d \in W_n$, $f \in A_n, g \in P$, $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and $v \in M$. Thus we have the following W_n^+-module (resp. W_n-module) structure on $F(P, M)$:

$$y \circ (g \otimes v) = \tau(y)(g \otimes v), \ \forall y \in W_n, \ g \in V, \ v \in M.$$

Particularly we have

Action1 (2.4) $$(t^\alpha\partial_j)\circ(g\otimes v)=((t^\alpha\partial_j)g)\otimes v+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\partial_i(t^\alpha)g)\otimes E_{ij}(v)$$

for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n, g\in P$ and $v\in M$.

From

Action2 (2.5) $$(t_j\partial_j)\circ(g\otimes v)=(t_j\partial_j g)\otimes v+g\otimes E_{jj}(v)$$

we can see that $F(P,M)$ is a weight module if and only if M is a weight $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module and P is a weight $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$-module).

When M is the 1-dimensional trivial $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module, from Theorems 6 and 12 in [TZ] we know that $F(P,M)$ is an irreducible W_n^+-module (resp. W_n-module) if and only if P is a simple $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$-module) that is not isomorphic to the natural $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module A_n^+ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$-module A_n).

In this paper, we will determine necessary and sufficient conditions for the module $F(P,M)$ to be irreducible over W_n^+ (resp. over W_n).

3. Irreducibility of the modules $F(P,M)$

In this section, we will find necessary and sufficient conditions for the module $F(P,M)$ to be irreducible over W_n^+ (resp. over W_n), as well as determine all submodules of $F(P,M)$ when it is reducible.

thm-2.1 **Theorem 3.1.** *Let P be an irreducible $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$-module), M an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module that is not isomorphic to $V(\delta_r,r)$ for any $r\in\{0,1,\cdots,n\}$. Then $F(P,M)$ is an irreducible module over W_n^+ (resp. over W_n).*

Proof. We will prove this result only for W_n^+ since the proof is valid also for W_n, even simpler.

Let V be a nonzero proper W_n^+-submodule of $F(P,M)$. Let $\sum_{k=1}^q p_k\otimes w_k$ be a nonzero element in V. (Later we will assume that $p_1,\dots,p_q$ are linear independent.)

Claim 1. For any $u\in\mathcal{K}_n^+$, $1\le i,j,l\le n$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q}(up_k)\otimes(\delta_{li}E_{lj}-E_{li}E_{lj})w_k\in V.$$

For any $m\in\{0,1,2\}$, and $\beta=(b_1,\dots,b_n)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $b_l\ge 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(t^{m\epsilon_l}\partial_i)\circ(t^{\beta-m\epsilon_l}\partial_j)\circ\sum_{k=1}^{q}(p_k\otimes w_k)\\&=\sum_{k=1}^{q}(t^{m\epsilon_l}\partial_i)\circ\big(t^{\beta-m\epsilon_l}\partial_j p_k\otimes w_k+\sum_{s=1}^{n}\partial_s(t^{\beta-m\epsilon_l})p_k\otimes E_{sj}w_k\big)\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&\sum_{k=1}^{q}(t^{m\epsilon_l}\partial_i)\circ\big(t^{\beta-m\epsilon_l}\partial_j p_k\otimes w_k+\sum_{s=1}^{n}(\beta_s-m\delta_{s,l})(t^{\beta-m\epsilon_l-\epsilon_s}p_k\otimes E_{sj}w_k)\big)\\
=&\sum_{k=1}^{q}(t^{m\epsilon_l}\partial_i t^{\beta-m\epsilon_l}\partial_j p_k\otimes w_k+mt^{\beta-\epsilon_l}\partial_j p_k\otimes E_{li}w_k)\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(\beta_s-m\delta_{s,l})\big(t^{m\epsilon_l}\partial_i t^{\beta-m\epsilon_l-\epsilon_s}p_k\otimes E_{sj}w_k\\
&+mt^{\beta-\epsilon_l-\epsilon_s}p_k\otimes E_{li}E_{sj}w_k\big)\\
=&\sum_{k=1}^{q}\Big((\beta_i-m\delta_{il})t^{\beta-\epsilon_i}\partial_j p_k\otimes w_k+t^{\beta}\partial_i\partial_j p_k\otimes w_k+mt^{\beta-\epsilon_l}\partial_j p_k\otimes E_{li}w_k\Big)\\
&+\sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(\beta_s-m\delta_{s,l})\Big((\beta_i-m\delta_{il}-\delta_{is})t^{\beta-\epsilon_s-\epsilon_i}p_k\otimes E_{sj}w_k\\
&+t^{\beta-\epsilon_s}\partial_i p_k\otimes E_{sj}w_k+mt^{\beta-\epsilon_l-\epsilon_s}p_k\otimes E_{li}E_{sj}w_k\Big)\\
=&\,m^2\sum_{k=1}^{q}t^{\beta-2\epsilon_l}p_k\otimes(\delta_{li}E_{lj}-E_{li}E_{lj})w_k+mu_1+u_0\in V,
\end{aligned}
$$

where u_1, u_0 is determined by β, i, j, w and independent of m. Taking $m = 0, 1, 2$ and considering the coefficient of m^2, we have that

T1 $$T_{\alpha,i,j}\circ(\sum_{k=1}^{q}p_k\otimes w_k)=\sum_{k=1}^{q}t^{\alpha}p_k\otimes(\delta_{li}E_{lj}-E_{li}E_{lj})w_k\in V, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n, l = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, where

$$T_{\alpha,i,j}=\frac{1}{2}((t^{2\epsilon_l}\partial_i)(t^{\alpha}\partial_j))-2(t^{\epsilon_l}\partial_i)(t^{\alpha+\epsilon_l}\partial_j)+(\partial_i)(t^{\alpha+2\epsilon_l}\partial_j)\in U(W_n^+).$$

Consequently, from the action of ∂_s on M, we see that

T2 $$\sum_{k=1}^{q}(\partial_s t^{\alpha}p_k)\otimes(\delta_{li}E_{lj}-E_{li}E_{lj})w_k\in V,\quad\forall\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n, s=1,\ldots,n. \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1), (3.2) and the fact that $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ is generated by t_i, ∂_s, with $i, s = 1, \ldots, n$, we deduce that

T3 $$\sum_{k=1}^{q}(up_k)\otimes(\delta_{li}E_{lj}-E_{li}E_{lj})w_k\in V,\quad\forall\ u\in\mathcal{K}_n^+. \tag{3.3}$$

Then Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2. If $p_1, \ldots, p_q$ are linear independent, then for any $1\le i, j, l\le n$, and any $k = 1, \ldots, q$, we have

$$(\delta_{li}E_{lj}-E_{li}E_{lj})w_k=0.$$

Since P is an irreducible $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module, by the density theorem in ring theory, for any $p \in P$, there is a $u_k \in \mathcal{K}_n^+$ such that

$$u_k p_i = \delta_{ik} p,\ i = 1, \dots, q.$$

From Claim 1 we see that $P \otimes (\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) w_k \subseteq V$.

Let $M_1 = \{w \in M | P \otimes w \subseteq V\}$. For any $p \otimes w \in V$, from

$$(t_j \partial_i) \circ (p \otimes w) = (t_j \partial_i p \otimes w + p \otimes E_{ji}(w)) \in V, \forall\ w \in M_1,$$

we see that M_1 is a $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-submodule of M, which has to be 0 or M. Since V is a proper submodule of $F(P, M)$, we must have that

$$M_1 = 0.$$

Claim 2 follows.

From now on we assume that $p_1, \dots, p_q$ are linear independent.

Claim 3. For any $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$, we have $(\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj})(M) = 0$.

From

$$t_s \partial_m \circ (\sum_{k=1}^{q} p_k \otimes w_k) \in V,$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q} (t_s \partial_m p_k \otimes w_k + p_k \otimes E_{sm} w_k) \in V.$$

By Claim 1, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q} (u t_s \partial_m p_k \otimes (\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) w_k + u p_k \otimes (\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) E_{sm} w_k) \in V.$$

By Claim 2 we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q} u p_k \otimes (\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) E_{sm} w_k \in V,$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{K}_n^+$. Since p_i's are linearly independent, by taking different u in the above formula, we deduce that

$$P \otimes (\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) E_{sm} w_k \in V,\quad k = 1, \dots, q.$$

This means that

$$(\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) E_{sm} w_k \subseteq M_1,\ \forall\ l, i, j, s, m.$$

Now $M_1 = 0$. So

$$(\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) E_{sm} w_k = 0, \forall\ l, i, j, s, m, k.$$

By repeatedly doing this procedure we deduce that

$$(\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) U(\mathfrak{gl}_n) w_k = 0, \forall\ l, i, j, k.$$

Since M is an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module, we obtain that

$$(\delta_{li} E_{lj} - E_{li} E_{lj}) M = 0, \forall\ l, i, j.$$

Claim 3 follows.

Consequently

$$(E_{ii}-1)E_{ii}M = E_{li}^2 M = 0, \forall\ i,l \text{ with } i \neq l.$$

By Lemma 2.3 in [LZ], we see that M is finite dimensional, i.e., M is a highest weigh $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module with highest weight $\mu \in \Lambda^+$. Suppose that v_μ is a highest weight vector of M, i.e., $E_{ii}v_\mu = \mu_i v_\mu$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then $\mu_i(\mu_i - 1) = 0$, i.e., $\mu_i = 0$ or 1. Since $\mu \in \Lambda^+$, we see that $\mu_i \geq \mu_{i+1}$. Thus there is an $r : 1 \leq r \leq n$ such that $\mu_i = 1$ for all $i \leq r$, and $\mu_i = 0$ for all $i > r$. So M has highest weight δ_r with $b = r$, contradicting the assumption on M. The theorem follows. □

We know that $V(\delta_0, b) = \mathbb{C}v$ is the one dimensional $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module such that the identity matrix I acts by the scalar b. Note that $V(\delta_0, n) = V(\delta_n, n)$. As vector spaces $F(P, V(\delta_0, b)) \cong P$. By (2.4), the action of W_n^+ (resp. W_n) on $F(P, V(\delta_0, b))$ is given by

$$(t^\alpha \partial_j) \circ (g) = t^\alpha(\partial_j g) + \frac{b\alpha_j}{n} t^{\alpha-\epsilon_j}(g), \quad \forall\ g \in P.$$

By Theorem 3.1, the module $F(P, V(\delta_0, b))$ over W_n^+ (resp. W_n) is irreducible if $b \neq 0, n$.

Next we will study the structure of the W_n^+-modules (resp. W_n-modules) $F(P, V(\delta_k, k))$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

2.2 **Lemma 3.2.** *Let P be a module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$). Then for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, we have W_n^+-module (resp. W_n-module) homomorphisms*

$$\pi_k : F(P, V(\delta_k, k)) \to F(P, V(\delta_{k+1}, k+1)),$$
$$p \otimes w \mapsto \sum_{l=1}^{n} (\partial_l \cdot p) \otimes (\epsilon_l \wedge w), \forall p \in P, w \in M.$$

Furthermore, $\pi_{k+1}\pi_k = 0$.

Proof. From

$$(t^\beta \partial_i) \circ (p \otimes w) = ((t^\beta \partial_i) \cdot p) \otimes w + \sum_{s=1}^{n} (\partial_s(t^\beta) \cdot p) \otimes E_{si} w,$$

we have

$$\pi_k((t^\beta \partial_i) \circ (p \otimes w)) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} (\partial_l t^\beta \partial_i) p \otimes (\epsilon_l \wedge w) + \sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n} \partial_l \partial_s (t^\beta) p \otimes \epsilon_l \wedge E_{si} w.$$

We compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(t^\beta\partial_i)\circ\pi_k(p\otimes w) = (t^\beta\partial_i)\circ(\sum_{l=1}^{n}(\partial_l\cdot p)\otimes(\epsilon_l\wedge w))\\
&=\sum_{l=1}^{n}((t^\beta\partial_i)\cdot\partial_l\cdot p)\otimes(\epsilon_l\wedge w)+\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\partial_s(t^\beta)(\partial_l\cdot p)\otimes E_{si}(\epsilon_l\wedge w)\\
&=\sum_{l=1}^{n}((\partial_l t^\beta\partial_i-\partial_l(t^\beta)\partial_i)\cdot p)\otimes(\epsilon_l\wedge w)\\
&\quad+\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\partial_s(t^\beta)(\partial_l\cdot p)\otimes(E_{si}\epsilon_l)\wedge w\\
&\qquad+\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\partial_s(t^\beta)(\partial_l\cdot p)\otimes(\epsilon_l\wedge E_{si}w)\\
&=\sum_{l=1}^{n}((\partial_l t^\beta\partial_i-\partial_l(t^\beta)\partial_i)\cdot p)\otimes(\epsilon_l\wedge w)\\
&\quad+\sum_{s=1}^{n}\partial_s(t^\beta)(\partial_i\cdot p)\otimes(\epsilon_s\wedge w)+\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(\partial_l\cdot\partial_s(t^\beta)\cdot p)\otimes(\epsilon_l\wedge E_{si}w)\\
&\quad-\sum_{l=1}^{n}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(\partial_l(\partial_s(t^\beta))\cdot p)\otimes(\epsilon_l\wedge E_{si}w)\\
&=\pi_k((t^\beta\partial_i)\circ(p\otimes w)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in the last equation we have used $\epsilon_l\wedge E_{si}w+\epsilon_s\wedge E_{li}w=0$ for all $s,l=1,2,\ldots,n$. It is easy to see that $\pi_{k+1}\pi_k=0$. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let P be an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$-modules). Then the W_n^+-module (resp. W_n-module) $F(P,V(\delta_r,r))$ is not irreducible for $r=1,2,\ldots,n-1$.*

Proof. If $\partial_i(P)=0$ for some $i=1,2,\cdots,n$, from

$$0=\partial_i(t_ip)=p+t_i(\partial_i(p)),\forall p\in P$$

we deduce that $P=0$ which contradicts the fact that P is simple. Thus $\partial_i(P)\neq 0$ for $i=1,2,\cdots,n-1,n$. From Lemma 3.2, we see that $\mathrm{Im}\pi_i\neq 0$ for $i=1,2,\cdots,n-1$. Then from $\pi_{r+1}\pi_r=0$ for $r=0,\ldots,n-2$, we have $\mathrm{Im}\pi_r$ is a proper submodule of $F(P,V(\delta_{r+1},r+1))$. Thus the module $F(P,V(\delta_r,r))$ is not simple for $r=1,2,\ldots,n-1$. □

For simplicity, let $L_n(P,r)=\pi_{r-1}(F(P,V(\delta_{r-1},r-1))$ for $r=1,2,\ldots,n$ and set $L_n(P,0)=0$. By the definition of π, we can see that $L_n(P,r)$ is spanned by

2.4' (3.4) $$\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\partial_k p)\otimes\epsilon_k\wedge\epsilon_{i_2}\wedge\cdots\wedge\epsilon_{i_r}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\partial_k p)\otimes E_{kj}w,$$

where $p \in P$, and j is chosen so that $w = \epsilon_j \wedge \epsilon_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_{i_r} \neq 0$. Therefore for all $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n; p \in P, w \in V(\delta_r, r)$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\partial_k p) \otimes E_{kj} w \in L_n(P, r), \tag{3.5}$$

2.6 (3.6) $t^\gamma \partial_j (p \otimes w) + L_n(P,r) = \sum_{s=1}^{n} t^\gamma \partial_s p \otimes (\delta_{j,s} w - E_{sj} w) + L_n(P,r)$,

for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let

$$\tilde{L}_n(P,r) = \{v \in F(P, V(\delta_r, r)) \mid W_n^+ v \subseteq L_n(P,r)\}$$

for W_n^+, and

$$\tilde{L}_n(P,r) = \{v \in F(P, V(\delta_r, r)) \mid W_n v \subseteq L_n(P,r)\}$$

for W_n. Since $W_n^+ = [W_n^+, W_n^+]$ and $W_n = [W_n, W_n]$, we see

2.9 (3.7) $$\tilde{L}_n(P,r) = \{v \in F(P, V(\delta_r, r)) \mid W_n^+ v \subseteq \tilde{L}_n(P,r)\}$$

for W_n^+, and

$$\tilde{L}_n(P,r) = \{v \in F(P, V(\delta_r, r)) \mid W_n v \subseteq \tilde{L}_n(P,r)\}$$

for W_n. It is clear that $\tilde{L}_n(P,r)/L_n(P,r)$ is either zero or a trivial module.

lemma-2.5 **Lemma 3.4.** *Let P be an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$). Then the module $F(P, V(\delta_r, r))/\tilde{L}_n(P,r)$ over $\mathcal{W}_n^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_n$) is either 0 or irreducible for any $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $F(P, V(\delta_r, r))/\tilde{L}_n(P,r) \neq 0$. Let V be any submodule of $F(P, V(\delta_r, r))$ with $\tilde{L}_n(P,r) \subsetneq V$. Take

$$v = \sum_{k=1}^{q} p_k \otimes w_k \in V \backslash \tilde{L}_n(P,r).$$

From (3.7) there exists some $t^\beta \partial_j$ such that $t^\beta \partial_j v \notin \tilde{L}_n(P,r)$. From (3.6) we have

2.9' (3.8) $$t^{\gamma+\beta}\partial_j \cdot v \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{s=1}^{n} t^{\gamma+\beta}\partial_s p_k \otimes (\delta_{j,s} w_k - E_{sj} w_k) \bmod \tilde{L}_n(P,r).$$

Let $v_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{s=1}^{n} t^\beta \partial_s p_k \otimes (\delta_{j,s} w_k - E_{sj} w_k)$ which is nonzero. Taking $\gamma = 0$ in (3.8) we see that $v_1 \notin \tilde{L}_n(P,r)$. Noting that $t^{\gamma+\beta}\partial_j \cdot v \in V$ and from (3.8) we see that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{s=1}^{n} x t^\beta \partial_s p_k \otimes (\delta_{j,s} w_k - E_{sj} w_k) \in V, \forall\ x \in \mathcal{K}_n^+ (\text{resp. } \forall x \in \mathcal{K}_n).$$

Now by the Density Theorem, we have $P \otimes w \subseteq V$ for some $0 \neq w \in V(\delta_r, r)$. Let $M_1 = \{w \in V(\delta_r, r) | P \otimes w \subseteq V\}$. We see that $M_1 \neq 0$. Again from

$$(t_j\partial_i) \circ (p \otimes w) = (t_j\partial_i p \otimes w + p \otimes E_{ji}(w)) \in V, \forall w \in M_1, p \in P,$$

we see that M_1 is a $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-submodule of $V(\delta_r, r)$. Thus $M_1 = V(\delta_r, r)$. Consequently, $V = F(P, V(\delta_r, r))$. Then $F(P, V(\delta_r, r))/\tilde{L}_n(P, r)$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{W}_n^+$-module (resp. $\mathcal{W}_n$-module). □

3.5 **Theorem 3.5.** *Let P be an irreducible module over the associative algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$). Then*

(1). $\tilde{L}_n(P, r) = \ker\pi_r$ *for all* $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$;
(2). $F(P, V(\delta_r, r))/\tilde{L}_n(P, r)$ *are irreducible for all* $r = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$;
(3). $L_n(P, r)$ *are irreducible for all* $r = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, *and further,*

$$F(P, V(\delta_{r-1}, r-1))/\tilde{L}_n(P, r-1) \simeq L_n(P, r);$$

(4). $F(P, V(\delta_0, 0)) = P$ *is irreducible if P is not isomorphic to the natural module A_n^+ (resp. A_n), and $A_n^+/\mathbb{C}t^0$ (resp. $A_n/\mathbb{C}t^0$) is irreducible;*
(5). $F(P, V(\delta_n, n))$ *is irreducible if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k P = P$. If $F(P, V(\delta_n, n))$ is not irreducible, then $F(P, V(\delta_n, n))/L_n(P, n)$ is a trivial module.*

Proof. (1). We first prove that $\tilde{L}_n(P, r) \subseteq \ker\pi_r$.

For any $v \in \tilde{L}_n(P, r)$, we know that $(t^\beta\partial_j)v \in L_n(P, r)$. Then $(t^\beta\partial_j)(\pi_r v) = \pi_r((t^\beta\partial_j)v) = 0$. In particular, we have $\partial_j(\pi_r v) = 0$, for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, which implies $\pi_r(v) = 0$ if $P \ncong A_n^+$ (resp. $P \ncong A_n$). Now suppose that $P \cong A_n^+$ (resp. $P \cong A_n$). Then we have

$$\pi_r(v) = 1 \otimes w \in 1 \otimes V(\delta_{r+1}, r+1)$$

for some $w \in V(\delta_{r+1}, r+1)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_r(v) = 1 \otimes w =& \frac{1}{r+1}(t_1\partial_1 + t_2\partial_2 + \ldots + t_n\partial_n)(1 \otimes w)\\ =& \frac{1}{r+1}(t_1\partial_1 + t_2\partial_2 + \ldots + t_n\partial_n)\pi_r(v)\\ =& 0.\end{aligned}$$

So $\tilde{L}_n(P, r) \subseteq \ker\pi_r$.

Since $\pi_r \neq 0$, using Lemma 3.4 we deduce that $\tilde{L}_n(P, r) = \ker\pi_r$, for all $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Part (1) follows.

Now we consider the module homomorphism sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}0 \to F(P, V(\delta_0, 0)) \to F(P, V(\delta_1, 1)) \to \cdots\\ \to F(P, V(\delta_{n-1}, n-1)) \to F(P, V(\delta_n, n)) \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Part (2) follows from Lemma 3.4 and the fact that $\pi_r \neq 0$.

Part (3) follow from Lemma 3.4, the above module homomorphism sequence and (1).

(4). This follows from Theorems 6 and 12 in [TZ].

(5). From (3) and Lemma 3.4, we know that $F(P, V(0,n))$ is simple if and only if $F(P, V(0,n)) = L_n(P,n)$. From (3.4) we have

$$L_n(P,n) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i P) \otimes (\epsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \epsilon_n).$$

Thus $F(P, V(0,n))$ is simple if and only if $P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i P$.

The other statement in Part (5) is obvious. This completes the proof of Part (5). □

Now we summarize our established results into the following

Cor3.6 **Corollary 3.6.** *Let P be an irreducible $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$-module), M an irreducible module over $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. Then*

(a). *$F(P,M)$ is an irreducible W_n^+-module (resp. W_n-module) if $M \ncong V(\delta_r, r)$ for any $r \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$.*

(b). *$F(P, V(\delta_0, 0)) = P$ is irreducible if and only if P is not isomorphic to the natural module A_n^+ (resp. A_n).*

(c). *$F(P, V(\delta_n, n))$ is irreducible if and only if $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_k P = P$.*

(d). *$F(P, V(\delta_r, r))$ is not irreducible if $r = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$.*

Next, we will give the isomorphism criterion for two irreducible modules $F(P,M)$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let P, P' be irreducible $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-modules (resp. $\mathcal{K}_n$-modules) and M, M' be irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$-modules. Suppose that $M \ncong V(\delta_r, r)$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then $F(P,M) \cong F(P', M')$ if and only if $P \cong P'$ and $M \cong M'$.*

Proof. We will prove this result only for W_n^+ since the proof is valid also for W_n.

The sufficiency is obvious. Now suppose that

$$\psi : F(P,M) \to F(P', M')$$

is an isomorphism of W_n^+-modules. Let $0 \neq p \otimes w \in F(P,M)$. Write

$$\psi(p \otimes w) = \sum_{i=1}^{q} p_i' \otimes w_i'$$

with $p_1', \ldots, p_q'$ linearly independent. Similar to (3.3), we have

T3' (3.9) $$\psi(xp \otimes (\delta_{li}E_{lj} - E_{li}E_{lj})w) = \sum_{k=1}^{q} xp_k' \otimes (\delta_{li}E_{lj} - E_{li}E_{lj})w_k',$$

For all $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$ and $x \in \mathcal{K}_n^+$. Note that we have assumed that $M \ncong V(\delta_r, r)$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then we may assume that $(\delta_{li}E_{lj} - E_{li}E_{lj})w \neq 0$ for some l, i, j. Since $p_1', \ldots, p_q'$ are linearly

independent, from the Density Theorem, we can find $y \in \mathcal{K}_n^+$ so that $yp_i = \delta_{i1}p_1$, i.e.,

$$\psi(yp \otimes (\delta_{li}E_{lj} - E_{li}E_{lj})w) = yp_1 \otimes (\delta_{li}E_{lj} - E_{li}E_{lj})w_1' \neq 0.$$

Replacing x with xy in (3.9), then replacing p with yp, w with $(\delta_{li}E_{lj} - E_{li}E_{lj})w$, p_1 with p', and $(\delta_{li}E_{lj} - E_{li}E_{lj})w_1'$ with w' we have that $p \otimes w \neq 0$ and

$$\psi(xp \otimes w) = xp' \otimes w', \forall x \in \mathcal{K}_n^+.$$

Therefore $\psi_1 : P \to P'$ with $\psi_1(xp) = xp'$ is a well-defined map. Since P and P' are irreducible $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-modules, so

$$\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{K}_n^+}(p) = \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{K}_n^+}(p'), \text{ and } P \simeq \mathcal{K}_n^+/\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{K}_n^+}(p) \simeq P'.$$

Thus ψ_1 is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-modules. We have

3.1 (3.10) $$\psi(p \otimes w) = \psi_1(p) \otimes w', \forall p \in P.$$

Now from $t_i\partial_j\psi(p \otimes w) = \psi((t_i\partial_j)(p \otimes w))$ and (3.10), we deduce that

$$\psi(p \otimes E_{ij}w) = \psi_1(p) \otimes E_{ij}w', \forall p \in P, i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{3.11}$$

In this manner we obtain that

$$\psi(p \otimes yw) = \psi_1(p) \otimes yw', \forall p \in P, y \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_n). \tag{3.12}$$

So we have $\mathrm{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}(w) = \mathrm{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}(w')$. Since W and W' are irreducible $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$-modules we obtain that

$$M \simeq U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)/\mathrm{Ann}_{U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}(w) \simeq M'.$$

□

4. Examples

It is known that the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ is a simple Noetherian algebra of Gelfand Kirillov dimension $2n$. Bernstein has shown that $GK(P) \geqslant n$ for any nonzero finitely generated $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module P, see [BE]. A module P is called holonomic if $GK(P) = n$. Irreducible holonomic $\mathcal{K}_2^+$-modules are classified in [BV]. Classifying all irreducible holonomic $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-modules for $n > 2$ is sill open.

Block classified irreducible $\mathcal{K}_1^+$-modules, up to irreducible elements in $\mathcal{K}_1^+$, see [B]. All irreducible $\mathcal{K}_1^+$-modules are holonomic. Note that the n-th Weyl algebra $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ is the tensor product of rank 1 Weyl algebras $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \partial_1]$, $\mathbb{C}[t_2, \partial_2], \ldots, \mathbb{C}[t_n, \partial_n]$. By tensoring irreducible $\mathbb{C}[t_i, \partial_i]$-modules V_i, one obtains an irreducible holonomic $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$.

There are a lot of infinite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules known. See, for example, [FGR], [MS], [GS], [N].

Example 4.1 It is known (see [FGM]) that any irreducible weight $\mathcal{K}_1^+$-module is isomorphic to one of the following

$$t_1^{\lambda_1}\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}],\ \lambda_1 \notin \mathbb{Z},\ \mathbb{C}[t_1],\ \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}]/\mathbb{C}[t_1].$$

Since $\mathcal{K}_n^+$ is the tensor product of the rank 1 Weyl algebras, every irreducible weight $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module is isomorphic to $V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n$, where each V_i is an irreducible weight $\mathbb{C}[t_i, \partial_i]$-module. By Theorem 2.1, for an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module M, we have that $F(V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_n, M)$ is an irreducible module over W_n^+ if $M \ncong V(\delta_r, r)$ for any $r \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$. In this way, we have constructed a lot of irreducible weight modules with many different weight supports.

Example 4.2 For $\Lambda_n = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, denote by $\Omega(\Lambda_n) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n]$ the polynomial algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ in commuting indeterminates $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$. The action of $\mathcal{K}_n^+$-module on $\Omega(\Lambda_n)$ is defined by

$$\partial_i \cdot f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \lambda_i^{-1}(x_i + 1) f(x_1, \cdots, x_i + 1, \cdots, x_n),$$
$$t_i \cdot f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \lambda_i f(x_1, \cdots, x_i - 1, \cdots, x_n),$$

where $f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \Omega(\Lambda_n)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Then $\Omega(\Lambda_n)$ is an irreducible Whittaker module over $\mathcal{K}_n^+$, see Example 3.15 in [BO]. One can see that $t_i\partial_i$ acts freely on $\Omega(\Lambda_n)$. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i(\Omega(\Lambda_n)) \neq \Omega(\Lambda_n)$$

and $\Omega(\Lambda_n) \ncong A_n^+$. Thus $F(\Omega(\Lambda_n), M)$ is an irreducible non-weight module over W_n^+ if and only if $M \ncong V(\delta_r, r)$ for any $r \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$.

Acknowledgments

Part of the research presented in this paper was carried out during the visit of the first author to Soochow University in April of 2016. G.L. is partially supported by NSF of China (Grants 11301143, 11471294) and the grant at Henan University(yqpy20140044). R.L. is partially supported by NSF of China (Grants 11471233, 11371134) and a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. K.Z. is partially supported by NSF of China (Grants 11271109, 11471233) and NSERC.

References

[B] R. Block, The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and of the Weyl algebra, Adv. in Math., 139 (1981), no. 1, 69-110.

[BE] I. N. Bernstein, The analitic continuation of generalized functions with respect to a parameter, Funct. Anal. Appl., 6, No. 4 (1972), 26-40.

[BF] Y. Billig, V. Futorny, Classification of simple W_n-modules with finite-dimensional weight spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math., 720 (2016), 199-216.

[BMZ] Y. Billig, A. Molev, R. Zhang, Differential equations in vertex algebras and simple modules for the Lie algebra of vector fields on a torus, Adv. Math., 218(2008), no.6, 1972-2004.

[BO] G. Benkart, M. Ondrus, Whittaker modules for generalized Weyl algebras, Represent. Theory, 13 (2009) 141-164.

[BV] V. V. Bavula, F. Van Oystaeyen, Simple holonomic modules over the second Weyl algebra A_2, Adv. Math., 150 (1) (2000), 80-16.

[BZ] Y. Billig, K. Zhao, Weight modules over exp-polynomial Lie algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 191(2004), 23-42.

[CG] A. Cavaness, D. Grantcharov, Bounded weight modules of the Lie algebra of vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^2$, arXiv:1611.01821.

[E1] S. Eswara Rao, Irreducible representations of the Lie-algebra of the diffeomorphisms of a d-dimensional torus, J. Algebra, **182** (1996), no. 2, 401–421.

[E2] S. Eswara Rao, Partial classification of modules for Lie algebra of diffeomorphisms of d-dimensional torus, J. Math. Phys., 45 (8), (2004) 3322-3333.

[EJ] S. Eswara Rao, C. Jiang, Classification of irreducible integrable representations for the full toroidal Lie algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 200 (2005), no. 1-2, 71-85.

[FGM] V. Futorny, D. Grantcharov, V. Mazorchuk, Weight modules over infinite dimensional Weyl algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 142 (2014), no. 9, 3049-3057.

[FGR] V. Futorny, D. Grantcharov, L. Ramirez, Singular Gelfand-Tsetlin modules of gl(n), Adv. Math., 290 (2016), 453-482.

[GS] D. Grantcharov, V. Serganova, Cuspidal representations of sl(n + 1), Adv. Math., 224 (2010) 1517-1547.

[GLZ] X. Guo, G. Liu and K. Zhao, Irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over extended Witt algebras, Ark. Mat., 52 (2014), 99-112.

[GZ] X. Guo and K.Zhao, Irreducible weight modules over Witt algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 139(2011), 2367-2373.

[HM] H. Hauser, G. Muller, The Lie Algebra of Polynomial Vector Fields and the Jacobian Conjecture, Monatsh. Math., 126, 211-213(1998).

[L1] T. A. Larsson, Multi dimensional Virasoro algebra, Phys. Lett., B 231(1989), 94-96.

[L2] T. A. Larsson, Central and non-central extensions of multi-graded Lie algebras, J. Phys., A 25(1992), 1177-1184.

[L3] T. A. Larsson, Conformal fields: A class of representations of Vect (N), Int. J. Mod. Phys., A 7(1992), 6493-6508.

[L4] T. A. Larsson, Lowest energy representations of non-centrally extended diffeomorphism algebras, Commun. Math. Phys., 201(1999), 461-470.

[L5] T. A. Larsson, Extended diffeomorphism algebras and trajectories in jet space, Commun. Math. Phys., 214(2000)., 469-491

[LZ] G. Liu, K. Zhao, New irreducible weight modules over Witt algebras with infinite dimensional weight spaces, Bulletin Lond. Math. Soc., 47(2015), 789-795.

[MS] V. Mazorchuk, C. Stroppel, Cuspidal sln-modules and deformations of certain Brauer tree algebras, Adv. Math., 228 (2011), no. 2, 1008-1042.

[MZ] V. Marzuchuk, K. Zhao, Supports of weight modules over Witt algebras, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect., A 141(2011), no. 1, 155-170.

[N] J. Nilsson, Simple $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$-module structures on $U(h)$, J. Algebra, 424 (2015), 294-329.

[R1] A.N. Rudakov, Irreducible representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of Cartan type, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 38 (1974), 836-866 (Russian); English translation in Math USSR-Izv., 8 (1974), 836-866.

[R2] A.N. Rudakov, Irreducible representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of types S and H, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 39 (1975), 496-511 (Russian); English translation in Math USSR-Izv., 9 (1975), 465-480.

[PS] I. Penkov, V. Serganova, Weight representations of the polynomial Cartan type Lie algebras W_n and $\overline{S}_n$, Math. Res. Lett., **6** (1999), no. 3-4, 397-416.

[Sh] G. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type. I. Mixed products of modules, Sci. Sinica Ser., A **29** (1986), no. 6, 570-581.

[TZ] H. Tan, K. Zhao, Irreducible modules over Witt algebras $\mathcal{W}_n$ and over $\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(C)$, arXiv:1312.5539.

[Z] K. Zhao, Isomorphisms between generalized Cartan type W Lie algebras in characteristic zero, Canadian J. Math., Vol.50(1), 210-224(1998).

G.L.: Department of Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China. Email: liugenqiang@amss.ac.cn

R.L.: Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, Jiangsu, P. R. China. Email: rencail@amss.ac.cn

K.Z.: Department of Mathematics, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, ON, Canada N2L 3C5, and College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal (Teachers) University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, 050016 P. R. China. Email: kzhao@wlu.ca